

## State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

ROBERT L. MORGAN Executive Director

LOWELL P. BRAXTON Division Director



## **Inspection Report Minerals Regulatory Program** Report Date: November 9, 2004

Mine Name: UnpermittedState Stone		Permit number: S/055/021				
Operator or Permittee Name:		Inspection Date: November 4, 2004				
State Stone						
Permittee Mailing Address:						
4640 S. 300 W., Murray, Utah 84107						
		Weather: Partly cloudy, 50's				
Inspector(s): Paul Baker		Inspection Start Time: 10:30 A.M.				
				ne: abt. 3:00 P		
Other Participants: Keith MacKay		Site location/Area Inspected (i.e. Pit #):				
Desir Control III		Entire area Surface Ownership: BLM, Fee				
Permit Status: UnpermittedNotice of Noncompliance		Surface	Ownership	: BLM, Fee		
Current Acreages:	Mineral Ownership: BLM, Fee					
Total Permitted (Bonded): None	Mineral Mined: Sandstone					
<b>Total Disturbed:</b> 9.32 acres disturbed with 4.24	Type of Mine: Surface					
acres reclaimed (both sites)						
<b>Elements of Inspection</b>	Evalu	untad	N/A	Comment	Enforcement	
1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	Evalt		IV/A	Comment		
2. Public Safety (open shafts, adits, trash,		7				
signs, highwalls)						
3. Protection of Drainages						
4. Explosives, magazines						
5. Deleterious Material						
6. Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control,						
safety)						
7. Concurrent Reclamation	$\geq$					
8. Erosion Control	My P	To the second				
9. Demolition					Ш	
10. Backfilling and Grading (trenches, pits,	$\triangleright$	3			П	
roads, highwalls, shafts, drill holes)		7				
11. Water Impoundments	F	=			H	
<ul><li>12. Soils</li><li>13. Revegetation</li></ul>		4	H		H	
14. Air Quality		7			H	
15. Other		_				
15. Other						



Inspection Date: November 4, 2004; Report Date: November 5, 2004

Page 2 of 2

M/055/021 (unpermitted)

**Purpose of Inspection:** 

The purpose of the inspection was to see if the reclamation work is adequate and to make recommendations for surface preparation and seeding.

**Inspection Summary:** 

1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds

Since most of the reclamation work has been completed, the Division and the operator are in a position to determine how much acreage the operator will continue to mine and how much bond will be needed. It is also possible to use GPS data gathered during this and previous inspections to create maps that can be used for one or two notices of intention.

The notices of intention and permit fees need to be submitted as soon as possible. The notices should have been submitted by September 17 with the bonds received by October 12, 2004.

The Division approved submitting two separate notices of intention, but I believe the reason for this was to avoid having to submit a Notice of Intention to Commence Large Mining Operations. The disturbed area is currently 4.98 acres, and of this, the operator intends to reclaim 0.15 acres. Submitting a single Notice of Intention to Commence Small Mining Operations would save money both on permit fees and on the bond.

10. Backfilling and Grading

At the west quarry, the processing/loading area has been regraded (Photo 1). I used a GPS unit to map the area that still needs to be reclaimed, and it is 1.02 acres. Mr. MacKay told me he does not have permission to mine in the southernmost portion of the area (the 0.15-acre area mentioned above). This area is shown in Photo 2.

Grading has also been completed at the east quarry (Photo 3), and the operator put out T-posts to mark the disturbed area. This was done in accordance with our discussions last April when the area to be used for future mining operations was measured as 3.96 acres.

13. Revegetation

The regraded areas need to be roughened and seeded. Seeding should be done as soon as possible after surface preparation. I furnished the operator with a recommended seed mix.

**GPS** Data

Attached to this report are maps created using data gathered at the west quarry during this inspection and at the east quarry during an inspection last April. The map of the west quarry includes points at three stakes. Mr. MacKay thought these stakes show the boundary of the Jensen's property and that they were placed during a survey of the property. I cannot verify whether this is correct. The map of the west quarry is as accurate as I can make it, but since I am not a surveyor and did not use a survey-grade GPS unit, I cannot certify that the points are absolutely accurate.

Inspector's Signature

Date: November 9, 2004

PBB:jb

Enclosures: Photo attachment, GPS map
cc: Keith MacKay, State Stone, Operator
Buzz Rakow, Hanksville BLM

O:\M055-Wayne\M0550021-MacKay\inspections\ins-11042004.doc

## ATTACHMENT Photographs (055/021 (Unpermitted), State Stone

M/055/021 (Unpermitted), State Stone
Inspection Dated: November 4, 2004; Report Dated: November 9, 2004



Photo 1. The regraded loading/processing area at the west quarry.

Page 2 of 2 M/055/021 (Unpermitted) State Stone

Inspection Date: November 4, 2004; Report Date: November 9, 2004



Photo 2. The quarry area at the west quarry. This is a composite of three photographs and has some distortion.



Photo 3. Panorama of the east quarry. While this is a composite of three photographs, there is little distortion.



